

2007 Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) Data for Families that Started with an Adolescent Birth (under age 20)

COUNTY	# of MFIP cases of families that started with an adolescent birth	% of MFIP cases of families that started with an adolescent birth	Total monthly MFIP dollars that go to families that started with an adolescent birth	% of Total monthly MFIP dollars that go to families that started with an adolescent birth
AITKIN	44	55.0%	\$21,237	50.9%
ANOKA	731	45.2%	\$432,094	46.7%
BECKER	121	49.8%	\$67,476	50.0%
BELTRAMI	705	60.7%	\$473,704	63.0%
BENTON	75	51.4%	\$40,814	55.4%
BIG STONE	*	*	*	*
BLUE EARTH	124	47.7%	\$66,849	46.2%
BROWN	33	44.0%	\$18,109	50.0%
CARLTON	59	45.0%	\$37,579	52.4%
CARVER	53	44.5%	\$31,241	44.9%
CASS	188	55.8%	\$122,135	58.3%
CHIPPEWA	34	60.7%	\$15,687	64.0%
CHISAGO	66	45.5%	\$30,539	41.5%
CLAY	159	53.2%	\$94,859	56.0%
CLEARWATER	41	52.6%	\$20,224	49.5%
COOK	*	*	*	*
COTTONWOOD	*	*	*	*
CROW WING	163	51.3%	\$90,696	55.3%
DAKOTA	655	49.0%	\$379,459	50.1%
DODGE	*	*	*	*
DOUGLAS	41	39.4%	\$23,085	41.7%
FARIBAUT	*	*	*	*
FILLMORE	*	*	*	*
FREEBORN	92	55.4%	\$47,953	56.4%
GOODHUE	81	46.8%	\$44,009	45.7%
GRANT	*	*	*	*
HENNEPIN	5,134	52.9%	\$3,275,347	55.0%
HOUSTON	40	51.3%	\$21,648	48.8%
HUBBARD	41	41.8%	\$23,250	44.6%
ISANTI	79	46.7%	\$45,161	50.2%
ITASCA	130	47.8%	\$76,843	50.0%
JACKSON	*	*	*	*
KANABEC	50	51.0%	\$26,937	51.0%
KANDIYOHI	155	56.2%	\$91,891	58.3%
KITSON	*	*	*	*
KOOCHICHING	*	*	*	*
LAC QUI PARLE	*	*	*	*
LAKE	*	*	*	*
LAKE OF THE WOODS	*	*	*	*
LE SUEUR	38	44.7%	\$21,742	47.9%
LINCOLN	*	*	*	*
LYON	50	47.2%	\$29,707	52.8%
MCLEOD	72	58.5%	\$36,038	58.7%
MAHNOMEN	82	56.6%	\$58,461	59.7%

COUNTY	# of MFIP cases of families that started with an adolescent birth	% of MFIP cases of families that started with an adolescent birth	Total monthly MFIP dollars that go to families that started with an adolescent birth	% of Total monthly MFIP dollars that go to families that started with an adolescent birth
MARSHALL	*	*	*	*
MARTIN	33	45.8%	\$18,051	49.5%
MEEKER	32	50.0%	\$19,371	56.7%
MILLE LACS	54	42.5%	\$29,450	43.7%
MORRISON	59	55.1%	\$32,913	57.7%
MOWER	112	52.3%	\$59,570	53.8%
MURRAY	*	*	*	*
NICOLLET	80	53.7%	\$41,695	53.6%
NOBLES	69	49.3%	\$43,318	55.1%
NORMAN	*	*	*	*
OLMSTED	273	43.5%	\$154,875	43.2%
OTTER TAIL	73	44.2%	\$41,904	46.5%
PENNINGTON	30	50.8%	\$16,762	55.2%
PINE	87	53.0%	\$47,245	54.4%
PIPESTONE	*	*	*	*
POLK	82	50.0%	\$47,530	51.4%
POPE	*	*	*	*
RAMSEY	3,883	54.0%	\$2,701,799	56.8%
RED LAKE	*	*	*	*
REDWOOD	40	63.5%	\$19,579	62.3%
RENVILLE	34	47.9%	\$18,918	47.2%
RICE	106	48.4%	\$63,446	50.2%
ROCK	*	*	*	*
ROSEAU	*	*	*	*
ST. LOUIS	677	50.7%	\$392,794	54.2%
SCOTT	126	44.2%	\$74,981	45.6%
SHERBURNE	111	49.8%	\$67,454	52.2%
SIBLEY	*	*	*	*
STEARNS	287	46.1%	\$180,561	47.8%
STEELE	53	45.7%	\$29,339	44.5%
STEVENS	*	*	*	*
SWIFT	*	*	*	*
TODD	57	54.3%	\$31,011	57.6%
TRAVERSE	*	*	*	*
WABASHA	*	*	*	*
WADENA	44	45.4%	\$25,247	45.4%
WASECA	30	44.8%	\$16,746	44.9%
WASHINGTON	269	49.9%	\$164,413	53.0%
WATONWAN	*	*	*	*
WILKIN	*	*	*	*
WINONA	78	48.8%	\$46,020	51.2%
WRIGHT	108	46.6%	\$59,810	47.8%
YELLOW MEDICINE	*	*	*	*
MILLE-LACS-BAND	156	66.1%	\$95,980	68.3%
TOTAL MINNESOTA Aged 15-19	16,744	51.6%	\$10,513,958	54.0%
TOTAL MINNESOTA All Ages	32,434	100%	\$19,482,858	100%

* Numbers are not reported for counties with fewer than 30 cases. This information can be obtained from DHS.

Data obtained from Minnesota Department of Human Services, Reports and Forecasts Division, December 2007